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We welcome Biden role on climate issues but be cautious to his motives

- Must be Political [Regaining the leadership] but also need to be Progressive & Realistic.

- Need to revisit his previous role in shaping the climate agreement
  i. Big per capita and cumulative GHG emitter.
  ii. Deny the historical responsibilities of causing climate change and and compensating the impacts and denial with inaction since many years.
  iii. Push Market mechanism always [e.g. Kyoto Protocol and also the PA]
  iv. Avoid financing promise and push their collaborators in fossil fuel investment and trading.
US; the Second Largest GHG Emitter

This is the current scenario, if we consider historical emission then US would be higher.
MVCs are suffering most and threatened by climate change

i. Losing around 2-3% of GDP due to climatic impact [Bangladesh case].

ii. Inappropriate & Inadequate global support [finance, technology etc.] for both adaptation & mitigation.

iii. Climate induced displacement and migration.


But MVCs share of global emissions less than 5%
Agenda may be discussed around in the Leaders’ Summit

- Reaching *(Limiting Global Warming to)* 1.5 Celsius through NDC enhancement.
- Finance & Technology.
- Capacity Building for fighting CC impact [to protect lives and livelihoods, job creation etc.]
We expect from the “Leaders Summit”

Reaching 1.5 Celsius through NDC enhancement [Galvanizing efforts by the world’s major economies/emitter].

- Enhanced US-NDC 45 percent from 2010 levels by 2030, reaching ‘net zero’ by 2050 (For USA this should be by 2030, EU 2040 and for all others by 2050).

- US will promise to stop investing in fossil fuel in developing countries through his collaborators [e.g. Investors and their IFIs].

- Should stop emission trading under Article 6 of PA. Rather US Should prioritize and invest more in the non-market mechanisms of emission reduction under the PA.
We expect from the “Leaders Summit”

Finance & Technology [Mobilizing public and private sector]

- US must declare his own country share [03 billion] for US$ 100 billion annually in GCF. (US share could me more, it’s better not to mention the figure)

- Create a separate funding window for addressing L&D in the MVCs

- Promise to facilitate grants based resources to the GCF; 50% for adaptation [Now its less than 20%].

- US can take lead and motivate developed countries to facilitate public grant/guarantee fund for MVCs to their adaptation and mitigation action.
We expect from the “Leaders Summit”

Finance & Technology [Mobilizing public and private sector]

- We don’t expect US (by-passing) tendency the CTCN [Climate Technology Centre and Network] under UNFCCC & PA.
- US will declare (it’s own IPR flexibility) and facilitate other developed countries to relax and ensure IPR Flexibility for Technology transfer for climate vulnerability countries.
We expect from the “Leaders Summit”

Capacity Building for fighting CC impact [not only MVCs must for Developed countries also].

- We observe that US government continuously refusing to fulfil its obligations under the Paris Agreement [Article 08] is frustrating.

US will show his commitment to strengthen WIM as effective and functional. Through;

- Activating the SNLD [a technical advisory body under the UNFCCC to provide scientific and technical advice to countries being affected by loss and damage].

- The developed countries will provide L&D funding which is in addition to adaptation support and this will not be under a failed market based solutions e.g. insurance.
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Let’s we discuss....!