

2023		2024		2025		2026	
WHAT WE AGREED		LEVERS OF TRANSFORMATION		ACTIONS REQUIRED		EXPECTED RESULTS & LINK TO OUTCOMES	
REACH A CRITICAL MASS OF QUALITY FUNDING	INCREMENTAL / INDIVIDUAL CHANGES	AOs adapt internal systems towards <b>multiyear planning/budgeting</b> in protracted crises and provide MYF to LNAs	FG engages donors and AOs as part of the follow-up on Quality Funding caucus outcome.	Signatories engage with peers on good practices.	AOs invest in adapting their systems for 2026.	Multiyear planning and budgeting enables more MYF across the system including for LNAs	1.1.A, 1.2.A, QF caucus
		Donors adopt strategies to increase the <b>flexibility of funding</b> e.g. pooled funds, regional earmarking, quality earmarking	GBAs convene a high-level dialogue with major donors that provide limited flexible funding.	Signatories engage with peers on existing good practices on flexible funding.	Major donors integrate relevant practices in their budget allocations for 2026.	The flexibility of humanitarian funding is increased	1.1.A, 1.2.A
		AOs improve internal systems to <b>track and report</b> on funding including for LNAs and WLOs	Through the CoP on localisation and gender, signatories exchange innovative solutions and good practices.		AOs invest in changing their internal systems to enable reporting against the GB self-reporting indicators.	Transparency on funding flows enables tracking of progress towards existing commitments	1.1.A/B, 1.2.A, funding localisation caucus, Gender
AOs solidify and expand progress on <b>overheads</b> without increasing reporting burden		GBAs engage with the IASC Deputies to promote the implementation of overheads guidance.	Signatories engage with peers on good practices incl. through the CoP on localisation.	AOs and donors agree on and include provisions on transferring overheads to LNAs in partnership agreements.	Solutions are found to provide overheads to LNAs consistently	1.2.A/B, Intermediaries caucus	
Donors increase contributions to <b>pooled funds</b> that provide at least 25% of funding to LNAs, and include them in their advisory/other oversight bodies		GBAs dialogue with ERC/OCHA to discuss increasing funding to LNAs and their meaningful role in decision making.	Donors adopt and implement guidance on promoting locally led action through pooled funds.	Donors that do not fund LNAs directly increase their contributions to pooled funds.	Pooled funds are effective tools that enable donors to progress towards the 25% target	1.1.A. 1.2.A, funding localisation caucus	
OCHA supports the <b>participation</b> of LNAs, incl. WLOs in HCTs, ICCGs, CBPF Advisory Boards and Cluster Lead Agencies ensure LNAs co-leadership of clusters		GBAs convene with IASC Deputies to discuss the implementation of an inclusive guidance.		Signatories support existing fora or new ones for LNAs representation in strategy discussions.	Participation of LNAs in humanitarian decision-making is the norm	1.2.C & 1.3.A, Intermediaries caucus	
Donors increase investments in <b>capacity strengthening</b> of LNAs, including for their engagement in decision-making fora at the country level		GBAs initiate consultations incl. at country level to explore scalable good practices to increase investment in capacity strengthening.		GBAs may convene a caucus to generate support and commitments from donors and AOs.	Effective mechanisms are developed to invest in the capacities and institutional development of LNAs.	1.2.C & 1.3.A, Intermediaries caucus	
Donors and AOs expand initiatives to reduce requirements/compliance burden and leverage further the <b>risk-sharing approach</b>		Signatories engage in the CoP on risk sharing to learn from ongoing pilots and identify opportunities to integrate it in their partnerships.		Donors support the expansion of ongoing initiatives and explore innovative tools to reduce compliance burden for LNAs.	Risk sharing and reduced requirements/compliance burden enable progress on all commitment areas	Cross cutting and Intermediaries caucus	
Donors and AOs champion collective efforts and <b>sector-wide standards</b> such as CHS to progress on the inclusion of affected populations in the design and adaptation of responses		Donors and AOs rally behind collective accountability approaches like CHS; supporting partners meet CHS commitment & recognising verified organisations in partnerships.		GBAs engage with the ERC/OCHA, IASC Deputies, and OPAG to explore advancing people-centred approaches and initiatives that emphasise on learning from collective AAP, participation and location.	Affected people are influencing the design of humanitarian responses	1.3.B	
Donors and AOs scale-up <b>cash assistance</b> as an institutional and system-wide approach		Signatories actively support and engage with existing initiatives such as CaLP, Global Cash Advisory Group, and gDCF.	AOs integrate locally-led and people-centered cash assistance into policies, funding processes, and operational systems.	Donors increase funding for Cash Assistance.	People-centered Cash Assistance is increased	1.2.C & 1.3.A, Cash coordination caucus	

ANTICIPATORY ACTION

NEXUS

INNOVATIVE FINANCING

STRENGTHEN PARTNERSHIPS ACROSS SECTORS, INCREASE PREVENTION, MITIGATION & PREPAREDNESS TO ANTICIPATE & MOBILISE RESOURCES FOR RECOVERY & SCALE UP & REPLICATE INNOVATIVE PRACTICES.

CAUCUS ON ANTICIPATORY ACTION;  
WORKSHOP ON INNOVATIVE FINANCING;  
CONSULTATION ON NEXUS

Donors and AOs substantially increase funding for **anticipatory action**, track fuel and build funding, and improve coordination

Donors and AOs identify strategies to advance the HD **nexus**, especially financing the nexus.

AOs and Donors scale-up successful **innovative financing** models

AOs coordinate anticipatory action, enhance transparency and increase operational readiness.

Donors use strategies to overcome internal barriers and substantially increase funding for anticipatory action.

Engaging in consultations on financing the nexus.

To be Identified

Signatories exchange learning on innovative financing & document effective approaches.

To be Identified

Anticipatory Action is scaled up

2.1.A & B, Caucus on Anticipatory action

To be Identified

2.2.A & B

To be Identified

2.3.A & B

All Signatories AOs Donors

Identified themes from the Principals dialogue at the Annual Meeting

Conditionalities  
Narrative  
Priority Settings

To be Identified

To be Identified

To be Identified

NRGs

NRGs are established as an agile GB instrument in a number of contexts, supporting implementation and providing feedback.

Increase the impact of the GB at country level, including by engaging in National Reference Groups (NRGs).

NRGs adopt ToRs and engage meaningfully with global GB processes, especially during the Annual Meeting.

GB implementation well informed by realities in different contexts, and impact of the GB on effectiveness and efficiency of humanitarian responses in these contexts more clearly demonstrated.